	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/517,823	SANDER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edwin A. Young	3681
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Edwin A. Young.	(3)	
(2) <u>James McKeown</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 March 2007	Time: <u>3:05 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	:
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed:	,	·
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
·		
	•	
Solicia 1me 3/1/07		
(Examiner/SPE dignature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sign	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 5, lines 11-12 were agreed to be changed from "the bearing bridge separate from and associated with the transmission housing, and is arranged" to - the bearing bridge is separate from and associated with the transmission housing, and is arranged- -. The change was made to resolve minor grammatical errors.